

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/507,004	02/22/2000	Stefanos Manganaris	RSW9-99-148	2371
7	590 06/04/2003			
Mark D. Simpson, Esquire			EXAMINER	
Synnestvedt & Lechner LLP 2600 Aramark Tower			BACHNER, R	REBECCA M
1101 Market S Philadelphia, P	treet A 19107-2950		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
• ,			3623	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/507,004	MANGANARIS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	-
Rebecca M Bachner	3623	
appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address	
N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep. I reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI atute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<b>1.</b>
2/7/02		
		S
tion.		
drawn from consideration.		
d/or election requirement.		
·		
iner.		
ccepted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.	
o the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
is: a)□ approved b)□ dis	sapproved by the Examiner.	
reply to this Office action.		
Examiner.		
eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
ents have been received.		
ents have been received in Ap	plication No	
Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	
estic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application	on).
provisional application has bee	en received.	,
_		
5) Notice of Inf		
e Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 7	
	D9/507,004  Examiner Rebecca M Bachner  Appears on the cover sheet with the special property of the cover sheet with the statutory minimum of thirty rod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA alling date of this communication, even if the cover sheet of the cover sheet of the cover sheet of the cover sheet of the drawing (and/or election requirement.  Add/or election requirement.	D9/507,004  Examiner Rebecca M Bachner 3623  appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address EPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM N. 18, 1136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed 18 reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 18 rod will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication attic, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. C, § 133). 18 alling date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any  207/03.  This action is non-final. 18 owance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits in other Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  18 tition. 18 drawn from consideration.  19 drawn from consideration.  20 the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  19 is: a) proved b) disapproved by the Examiner. 20 the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  21 is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. 22 in reply to this Office action. 23 Examiner.  24 eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  25 ents have been received in Application No.  26 ents have been received in Application No.  27 is a first of the certified copies not received. 28 estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application provisional application has been received. 29 estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.  20 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  21 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  21 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  22 interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  23 interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  24 interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  25 interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  26 interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  27 interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  27 interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  28 interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  29 interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

#### **Detailed Action**

This is Final Office Action in response to the communication received on February 7, 2003. Claims 1-15 are pending.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al. (U.S. P.N. 6,377,934) in view of Jacobi et al. (U.S. P.N. 6,317,722).

As per claims 1, 6 and 11, Chen et al. disclose a computer-implemented method, a computer program product recorded on a computer readable medium, and a system of processing market research data including aggregate sales data concerning items grouped in a plurality of market baskets and sold during retail sales transactions of a retailer, said method comprising the steps of (see column 3, lines 47-50):

Receiving analysis parameters from said retailer for use in analyzing said market research data (see column 2, lines 14-25, analysis parameters are received for analyzing the enterprise data);

Receiving said aggregate sales data (see column 2, lines 40-45, aggregate data is received); and

Art Unit: 3623

Analyzing said aggregate sales data based on said market basket groupings and determining if any of said market basket groupings display characteristics identified by said analysis parameters (see column 2, lines 51-63, and column 5, lines 51-61, the data is analyzed based upon characteristics).

Chen et al. does not explicitly disclose that for all market basket groupings which have been determined to display said characteristics, enhancing said aggregate sales data concerning each market basket grouping by embedding in said aggregate sales data an "imaginary item" for each characteristic(s) displayed by each market basket grouping. However, Jacobi et al. discloses using a persons' shopping cart items to suggest or recommend another item to them (see column 4, lines 1-16, and column 6, lines 7-30, and 52-60). Jacobi et al. determines what items to recommend by using past consumer records and tables that show market basket groupings (see column 9, lines 59-67, through column 10, lines 1-10). Jacobi et al.'s internal system is using the aggregate sales data with an "imaginary item" and determining if this item will correlate with the other items in shopping cart. If the "imaginary item" does highly correlate with the other items in the basket, then it is recommended or suggested to the shopper. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to create an "imaginary item" with each set of aggregate data in the market basket at it would allow Chen et al. to perform more data analysis and further fit individual shopper's needs. One would be motivated to have Chen et al. contain an "imaginary item" as it allows one to further determine correlating data and place the various users in accurate groupings to increase sales.

Art Unit: 3623

As per claims 2, 7, and 12, Chen et al. disclose all the limitations of claim 1, wherein said method further comprises the steps of:

Performing associating analysis on said enhanced market basket grouping data to generate association rules and frequently itemsets (see column 5, lines 62-67, through column 6, lines 1-122, association analysis is performed generating rules and itemsets); and

Displaying and archiving said association rules and frequent itemsets (see column 5, lines 64-66, the customer analysis functions are rules and can be displayed and archived).

As per claims 3, 8, and 13, Chen et al. disclose all the limitations of the method set forth in claim 2, further comprising the step of: processing said association rules and frequent itemsets to develop conclusions about said marketing research data (see column 3, lines 51-67, the rules and functions of the itemsets are used to develop conclusions about the marketing research data).

As per claim 4, 9, and 14, Chen et al. disclose all the limitations of the method as set forth in claim 2, wherein said aggregate sales data comprises merchandise information, said merchandise information including:

As identification element identifying each sold item (see figure 4B, column 9, lines 52-63, the data contains information about the sold items);

Art Unit: 3623

Transactional information corresponding to each sold item (see figure 4B, column 9, lines 52-63, the data contains information about the transactions and billing information of each item); and

Financial information corresponding to each sold item and wherein said merchandise information is input to a merchandise taxonomy to establish logical links between said identification elements, said transactional information, and said financial information so that said merchandise information can be utilized for market basket analysis (see figure 4B, and column 9, lines 64-67, through column 10, lines 1-9, sales information is associated with the elements and can be utilized for analysis).

As per claim 5, 10, and 15, Chen et al. disclose all the limitations of the method as set forth in claim 4, wherein said aggregate sales data comprises information linking the merchandise information of each sold item in a particular market basket to all other items in said particular market basket (see figure 4B, column 9, lines 52-63, the data contains information about the sold items and this information is linked together in the data model).

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/507,004 Page 6

Art Unit: 3623

### Response to Arguments

3. The applicant argues that neither the prior art references (Chen or Jacobi), either alone, or in combination, teach or suggest the claimed invention. The applicant states that Jacobi does not teach the embedding of the imaginary item in the aggregate sales data for market basket groupings. The applicant also argues that Jacobi does not teach imaginary items in the specification. Lastly, the applicant states that nowhere in Jacobi is there a suggestion of embedding data in the market basket so that it will possess data indicating theses characteristics, which data is then used by analysts to identify items that might be desired by particular groupings of purchasers.

The examiner agrees that Chen does not teach embedding imaginary items in sales data. However, Jacobi does teach embedding of the imaginary item in the aggregate sales data for market basket groupings. Applicant defined an "imaginary item" as "a designation indicating the existence of a property of the basket as a whole". The applicant further explains on page 2 in the response on Jan. 30, 2003, that the "imaginary item" designation is "added to the basket to enable identification of the property". In the Jacobi application, an imaginary item is used. Although Jacobi does not call it an imaginary item, Jacobi designates a property to the basket as a whole. As disclosed in the abstract and column 4, lines 1-16, and column 6, lines 7-30, and 52-60. Jacobi uses the items in the shopping cart to create a list of items the user could be interested in and then determine "whether that item is related to one or more of the items in the user's shopping cart". Therefore, Jacobi inherently uses an imaginary

Art Unit: 3623

item to categorize the property of the basket and determine if the items in the basket correspond to any of the items on the list.

For example, Jacobi discloses a shopping basket and this basket could be filled with 5 books and 3 of the books are about England (see column 3, lines 39-56). Jacobi then would use this characteristic, or imaginary item, of the basket as a whole to create a list with other books about England and recommend them to the user. The applicant is claiming the same thing in the last part of claim 1. The applicant discloses that for all market basket groupings which have been determined to display the characteristics (books about England), enhancing the aggregate sales data concerning each market basket grouping by embedding in the aggregate sales data an "imaginary item".

Therefore, in the applicant's invention the "imaginary item" would be the property of the basket, which in this example, is a book about England.

Page 7

Art Unit: 3623

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Wrinblatt (U.S. P.N. 5,515,270) discusses a system and method for analyzing purchasing data.

#### Conclusion

Page 8

- No claims allowed.
- 6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 9

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Rebecca Bachner** whose telephone number is 703-305-1872. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30am to 5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Tariq Hafiz** can be reached on **(703)** 305-9643.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Receptionist** whose telephone number is **(703) 308-1113**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687 Official communications; including After Final

communications labeled "Box AF"

(703) 746-7306 Informal/Draft communications, labeled "PROPOSED" or "

DRAFT"

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7<sup>th</sup> floor receptionist.

"*RM*» RMB May 30, 2003

TARIO'R. HÁFIZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600